International Journal of Life Science and Agriculture Research
ISSN (Print): 2833-2091, ISSN (Online): 2833-2105
Volume 05 Issue 03 March 2026
DOI: https://doi.org/10.55677/ijlsar/V05I03Y2026-02
Impact Factor: 7.88 , Page No : 119-130

Smart Cities and Urban Transitions in Cameroon: Opportunities, Challenges, and Prospects for Inclusive Digital Urbanism

Suzanne Nadia Mache Foukou[1], Abel Tsolocto[2], Jean-Marie Fotsing[3]
[1]University of Dschang, Cameroon
[2]University of TELUQ, Montreal, Canada
[3]University of New Caledonia

ABSTRACT

Africa's rapid urbanization, with its urban population projected to reach 1.3 billion by 2050, presents both unprecedented challenges and opportunities for sustainable development. Cameroon exemplifies this dynamic, with urban populations increasing from 52% in 2015 to 60% in 2025, concentrated in major hubs like Douala and Yaounde. However, this growth confronts acute governance challenges including unplanned peri-urban expansion, infrastructure deficits affecting 47-60% of residents in informal settlements, and jurisdictional fragmentation across ministries, councils, and customary authorities. This study evaluates smart-city prospects in Cameroon through the lens of entrenched urban governance challenges, drawing on mixed-methods research including institutional analysis (N=52 documents), key informant interviews (N=42), household surveys (N=320), and geospatial analysis. Findings reveal that while Cameroon possesses robust mobile penetration (78% smartphone ownership) and nascent digital infrastructure, institutional fragmentation—with overlapping mandates between MINDCAF, MINHDU, 374 councils (only 20% fully operational), and traditional chiefs controlling 80% of customary land—undermines smart-city coordination. Digital divides persist, with formal urban cores averaging 85% 4G coverage versus 42% in peri-urban areas where most residents reside. The study proposes context-appropriate pathways including unified digital cadastres integrating customary and statutory systems, low-bandwidth SMS/USSD innovations for flood early warning and waste management, and hybrid governance infrastructures embedding chiefs in digital validation processes. These recommendations align with Cameroon's 2025 FAO National Land Policy platform and Law No. 2019/024 decentralization mandates, positioning inclusive smart urbanism as a catalyst for transformative governance reform.

KEYWORDS: Smart cities, urban governance, digital divide, institutional fragmentation, hybrid land tenure, Cameroon, inclusive urbanism

Published Online: February 25, 2026

Cite the Article: Mache Foukou, S.N., Tsolocto, A., Jean-Marie F. (2026). Smart Cities and Urban Transitions in Cameroon: Opportunities, Challenges, and Prospects for Inclusive Digital Urbanism. International Journal of Life Science and Agriculture Research, 5(3), 119-130. https://doi.org/10.55677/ijlsar/V05I03Y2026-02

License: This is an open access article under the CC BY 4.0 license: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

Corresponding Author:
Abel Tsolocto

1. INTRODUCTION

Africa's urbanization trajectories are among the world's most rapid, with the continent's urban population projected to double from 600 million in 2025 to 1.3 billion by 2050, concentrating over half of all new global urban dwellers in African cities (OECD, 2025b). Cameroon exemplifies this dynamic, where the urban share has accelerated from 52% in 2015 to 60% in 2025, driven by economic opportunities in hubs like Douala and Yaounde amid persistent rural insecurity and climate stressors (World Bank, 2025). Yet this growth confronts acute governance challenges: unplanned peri-urban expansion, service deficits affecting 47-60% of residents in

informal settlements, wetland degradation amplifying floods, and jurisdictional fragmentation across ministries, councils, and customary authorities (Kenmegne et al., 2025).

In this context, smart-city paradigms—leveraging digital technologies, data analytics, and participatory platforms to enhance urban efficiency and sustainability—emerge as potential catalysts for transformative urban management (Tan & Taeihagh, 2020). The smart-city concept, originating from IBM's 2010 vision of technology-infused urbanism, has evolved into a multifaceted framework integrating Internet of Things (IoT), artificial intelligence (AI), and citizen-centric governance to address mobility, energy, waste, and security. Globally, it promises optimized resource use and resilience, but African applications demand adaptation to fragmented institutions, informal economies, and uneven digital access (OECD, 2025a).

While flagship projects proliferate—Kigali's Vision City (22,000 residents, IoT waste management), Eko Atlantic (Lagos, autonomous energy grids), and emerging hubs like Cape Town and Nairobi—scholarship cautions against 'techno-solutionism' that overlooks socio-political realities (Nkafu Policy Institute, 2021). In Cameroon specifically, where 85-90% of land operates under customary tenure amid overlapping state mandates (Ministry of State Property and Land Tenure [MINDCAF]; Ministry of Housing and Urban Development [MINHDU]), smart-city initiatives risk exacerbating divides unless embedded in hybrid governance reforms (Ngu & Temgoua, 2025).

1.1 Research Context and Significance

This study evaluates smart-city prospects in Cameroon through the lens of its entrenched urban governance challenges, drawing on field research documenting jurisdictional fragmentation's role in informal proliferation (Ngang & Besong, 2025). Cameroon's decentralization (Law No. 2019/024) and nascent 2025 FAO-supported National Land Policy platform create a policy window for digital integration, yet institutional silos (only 20% of 374 councils fully operational) and digital inequalities (rural-urban connectivity gaps) constrain uptake (Roman-Cuesta et al., 2025).

Table 1 below illustrates the institutional fragmentation characterizing Cameroon's land governance system, with overlapping mandates creating coordination voids that smart-city initiatives must navigate.

Table 1. Institutional Mandates and Coordination Gaps in Cameroon's Land Governance System

Actor	Mandate	Coordination Gap
MINDCAF	Titling and land registry management	Siloed databases preventing interoperability
MINHDU	Urban zoning and master planning	Unenforced regulations in peri-urban areas
Councils (374)	Development permits and local planning	Limited capacity (20% fully operational post-Law 2019/024)
Traditional Chiefs	Customary land allocations (80% of peri-urban land)	Non-statutory veto power creating parallel systems

Source: Elite interviews (N=25) and legislative review

The table exposes a paradox: each actor commands substantial formal authority (central ministries control titling/zoning, councils hold permitting power, chiefs dominate 80% customary allocations), yet coordination gaps convert this institutional plurality into paralysis. MINDCAF's siloed databases cannot interface with MINHDU's unenforced plans, while councils lacking operational capacity (80% inactive) defer to chiefs whose non-statutory vetoes operate outside digital systems. For smart-city platforms requiring unified data flows—e-permitting linking cadastres to zoning to council approvals—this four-way fragmentation means any digital tool must simultaneously navigate ministerial silos, council incapacity, and customary authority, exponentially multiplying integration complexity.

1.2 Research Objectives and Questions

Research objectives are threefold:

- Map smart-city conceptualizations against Cameroon's fragmented governance context
- Assess technological opportunities and institutional barriers in key cities (Douala, Yaounde, Bafoussam)
- Propose context-appropriate pathways for inclusive digital urbanism aligned with 2025 policy reforms

Guiding research questions include: How do smart-city technologies interface with customary-statutory land tensions? What governance reforms enable equitable implementation amid 60% informal housing? How can low-bandwidth innovations enhance resilience in flood-prone peri-urban rings?

1.3 Contributions to Knowledge

This investigation contributes by: (i) extending multi-level governance theory to digital urbanism in hybrid African contexts; (ii) furnishing causal evidence from longitudinal GIS and surveys linking fragmentation to service gaps ripe for smart remediation; and (iii) offering sequenced policy pathways aligned with Cameroon's 2025 reforms, including unified cadastres and participatory e-governance (OECD, 2025b).

2. CONCEPTUAL AND THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

2.1 Core Concepts and Definitions

Smart cities harness digital technologies—Internet of Things (IoT) sensors, big data analytics, artificial intelligence (AI)—integrated with participatory governance and sustainable infrastructure to enhance urban efficiency, service delivery, and resilience (Balashova et al., 2025). Yet in sub-Saharan Africa, where 60% of urban residents inhabit informal settlements, this paradigm intersects with jurisdictional fragmentation: vertical misalignments between central and local scales, and horizontal overlaps among functions, which erode regulatory coherence and enable parallel land systems (Ayambire, 2025).

Hybrid land governance denotes the de facto interplay of statutory formalization (covering <15% of territory) and customary usufruct (85-90%), producing tenure continua from verbal chief allocations (72% peri-urban) to broker "tickets" and rare titles, prone to elite capture and disputes (70% urban caseloads) (Osiyi & Udeh, 2025). Informality manifests as unauthorized peri-urban densification, wetland encroachment (15,800 ha loss), and service voids, housing 47-60% of city dwellers on ecologically fragile slopes and marshes (UN-Habitat, 2022).

2.2 Theoretical Integration: Smart Urbanism Under Fragmented Multi-Level Governance

Multi-level governance (MLG) theory posits urban decision-making as distributed bargaining across nested scales, yet Cameroon's decentralization paradox—Law No. 2019/024 devolving powers to under-resourced councils (20% operational)—amplifies voids where customary vetoes override statutory plans (Roman-Cuesta et al., 2025). Political ecology reveals digital infrastructures as arenas of power: e-cadastres risk entrenching elite title-holders while marginalizing chiefs' domains, mirroring documented speculation near administrative hubs (Ayambire, 2025).

Resilience frameworks recast informal adaptations (self-help drainage, mutual aid) as foundations for low-tech smart tools—SMS alerts, USSD platforms—provided they embed hybrid validation (African Smart Cities Index, 2024). Thus, smart urbanism under fragmented MLG demands reconciling techno-efficiency with customary-statutory hybrids: blockchain for tamper-proof chief allocations, participatory GIS for informal mapping, addressing peri-urban realities sidelined by flagship enclaves.

2.3 Smart Cities in African and Cameroonian Contexts

African smart-city literature spotlights connectivity leaders (Johannesburg, Cape Town), yet most overlook customary tenure (85%+ land), peri-urban wetland loss, and elite capture via jurisdictional arbitrage (African Smart Cities Index, 2024). Rwanda's commissions hybridize digital cadastres with chiefs (60% dispute drop), but neglect gendered barriers (women 2.3x less access) and migrant exclusions (8% allocations) (Balashova et al., 2025). Cameroon's ecosystem—Bertoua Declaration (2023), Vision 2035 IoT pilots—falters on silos: MINDCAF's fragmented registries preclude e-governance; councils lack digital capacity; chiefs resist formal apps (OECD, 2025a). Evidence reveals urgency: smart waste/flood sensors must prioritize informal hotspots, not formal cores alone.

3. METHODOLOGICAL APPROACH

This investigation adopts a mixed-methods comparative case study design, triangulating quantitative, qualitative, and geospatial methods to evaluate smart-city implementation amid Cameroon's jurisdictional fragmentation (Ngang & Besong, 2025). The approach addresses three analytical levels: macro-policy (national frameworks), meso-institutional (actor dynamics), and micro-spatial (neighborhood divides).

3.1 Case Selection and Comparative Logic

Primary cases—Douala (Littoral Region; ~3.5M population, 60% informal housing) and Yaounde (Centre Region; ~4M, policy experimentation hub)—represent Cameroon's largest urban economies where smart pilots are concentrated. Bafoussam/Hauts-Plateaux (West Region; ~500K metro) serves as comparative control, illustrating untreated governance pathologies—densification ratios <1.0, 15,800 ha wetland encroachment, 70% land disputes—that smart agendas must explicitly target (Ayambire, 2025).

Figure 1. Study Area Map: Cameroon and Case Study Cities (Douala, Yaounde, Bafoussam)

The map reveals the geographic distribution of case study sites, with Bafoussam functioning as a "natural experiment" in institutional fragmentation following the 1992 Mifi split. Proximity zones (12-45km radius) shown in the inset demonstrate how distance from urban cores mediates infrastructure access and elite speculation—factors critical for targeting smart-city interventions. The four new departments created from Mifi (color-coded) illustrate jurisdictional complexity where traditional chieftaincy boundaries (dashed lines) intersect with administrative divisions, creating coordination voids that digital governance platforms must navigate.

3.2 Data Sources and Collection Procedures

Data collection mirrors a phased, multi-source protocol (2017-2025), augmented for digital focus:

Institutional/policy document analysis (N=52): Comprehensive review of MINHDU Bertoua Declaration (2023), MINDCAF cadastre plans, 2025 FAO National Land Policy drafts, Law No. 2019/024 evaluations, e-governance strategies, FEICOM reports, and UN-Habitat pilots. Documents systematically coded for smart-governance alignments and persistent silos.

Key informant interviews (N=42): Purposive/snowball sampling across ministry delegates (n=12), council executives (n=10), telecom firms (n=8), traditional chiefs (n=6), and civil society activists (n=6). Semi-structured protocols (60-120 min avg.) explored mandate overlaps, pilot barriers, and exclusion risks; audio-recorded, French-transcribed, NVivo-coded (inter-coder k=0.80).

Household/user surveys (N=320): Stratified random sampling across formal cores and peri-urban fringes (Douala: New-Bell/Bonaberi; Yaounde: Mvog-Ada/Minkod; Bafoussam: Bandjoun/Baham): N=120/site. Instruments captured digital infrastructure, smart-service perceptions, tenure views, demographics. Response rates: 78% formal, 65% informal; CSPro double-entry, Stata-cleaned.

Geospatial and technical datasets: Sentinel-2 (10m, 2020-2025) + Landsat for network coverage, service access, informal locations; 1,500 GPS points field-validated; CAMTEL coverage shapefiles.

3.3 Analytical Procedures

Qualitative: NVivo v14 thematic coding blended deductive frames (fragmentation/hybridity/digital inclusion) with inductive emergence; process-tracing reconstructed causal chains.

Quantitative: Descriptive benchmarks (digital Gini, coverage voids); multivariate logistic regression for smart readiness (pseudo-R2=0.41 anticipated); interrupted time series for pilots.

Spatial: ArcGIS Pro 3.2 kernel density (2km) mapped coverage deserts over informal clusters; network analysis quantified access; LULC overlays flagged flood-prone smart gaps.

4. OPPORTUNITIES FOR SMART CITIES IN CAMEROON

Cameroon's smart-city potential lies not in replicating high-tech Northern models but in harnessing existing digital practices and low-bandwidth innovations to address fragmentation-driven challenges.

4.1 Technological Foundations and Informal Digital Practices

Cameroon boasts robust mobile penetration (78% smartphone ownership, 52% internet access), with informal settlements already deploying community WhatsApp groups for mutual aid, mobile money (Orange Money/MTN MoMo) for micro-transactions (62% female-headed households), and USSD codes for remittances—foundational "smart" behaviors ripe for scaling (World Bank, 2025). Extending these via low-cost IoT (e.g., SMS-based market pricing) bypasses infrastructure gaps, targeting dense urban clusters.

4.2 Sectoral Benefits Aligned with Risk Hotspots

Flood early warning in peri-urban wetlands (15,800 ha loss; 35-40% residents affected) via community sensors + SMS alerts, piloted in Yaounde's Mvog-Ada, could avert losses like Bamendzi landslides (8 deaths, 2024) (Kenmegne et al., 2025). Smart waste management—IoT bins in Douala Bonaberi—reduces stream dumping (72% improper disposal), reclaiming hydrology. Transport optimization along RN5 corridors uses crowd-sourced bus-tracking apps, decongesting sprawl. Energy micro-grids serve landslide-prone slopes (>25° gradients), enhancing resilience.

Figure 2. Densification Morphologies by Settlement Type: Implications for Smart Infrastructure Targeting

Satellite imagery (2008-2025) combined with density ratio analysis exposes distinct urban growth patterns demanding differentiated smart interventions. Baham's radial expansion (0.62 ratio) from the palace center requires circular sensor networks, while Bandjoun's linear ribbon development (0.75 ratio) along RN5 calls for corridor-based IoT deployment. Bamendjou's dendritic pattern (0.82 ratio) following roads and Bafoussam's near-isotropic absorption (0.55 ratio) reflect varying infrastructure-mediated growth mechanisms. These morphological differences mean one-size-fits-all smart city solutions will fail—peri-urban rings (orange zones, 0.85-0.95 ratios) concentrate service voids where low-bandwidth SMS alerts and community sensors offer highest impact per investment dollar.

4.3 Governance Transformations: Filling Regulatory Voids

Digital tools operationalize governance reforms: open cadastral portals unify MINDCAF/MINHDU silos, curbing double allocations (70% disputes); e-permitting platforms empower 374 councils (20% operational benchmark), with blockchain pilots verifying chief allocations (72% transactions)—Rwanda model achieving 60% dispute reduction. Participatory GIS apps enable informal mapping, enforcing women/youth quotas (30%) in hybrid commissions, countering 2.3x gendered land gaps (Ayambire, 2025). These opportunities—mobile-rooted, hotspot-targeted, void-filling—position smart urbanism as hybrid governance accelerator.

5. MAJOR CHALLENGES: FRAGMENTATION, DIGITAL DIVIDES, AND EXCLUSION

While opportunities abound, smart-city deployment confronts entrenched barriers rooted in documented governance failures, empirically manifesting as institutional silos, spatial inequities, and social exclusions that undermine digital integration.
Table 2 below illustrates the administrative and institutional fragmentation following the 1992 reform in the Mifi region, exemplifying coordination challenges replicated nationwide.

Table 2. Administrative and Institutional Fragmentation Post-1992 Reform: The Mifi Case

Institution	Pre-1992 Status	Post-1992 Status
Departmental Administration	Unified Mifi Department	Split into Mifi and Hauts-Plateaux
Land Commissions	Single departmental commission	Two separate commissions (75% inactive)
MINDCAF Delegations	Single coordination center	Duplicated offices, siloed databases
Traditional Chieftaincies	Pre-colonial boundaries intact	Boundaries cut across new departments
Coordination Outcome	Centralized oversight	Fragmented, conflicting jurisdictions

Source: Legislative analysis and elite interviews (N=25, 2017-2022)

The temporal contrast reveals fragmentation as active institutional degradation rather than historical artifact: pre-1992's unified architecture—single departmental administration, one land commission, coordinated MINDCAF center—enabled centralized oversight despite customary complexities. Post-decree multiplication (four departments, eight ministerial delegations, duplicated yet 75% inactive commissions) transformed coordination from hierarchical to negotiated, but without corresponding negotiation mechanisms. Traditional chieftaincy boundaries, unchanged since pre-colonial era, now span multiple departmental jurisdictions, forcing chiefs to engage separate MINDCAF offices for contiguous territories. This structural incoherence is precisely what e-permitting and digital cadastres must overcome—not through technological overlay but by institutionalizing hybrid coordination protocols that the 1992 reform dismantled.

Figures 3-4. Administrative Fragmentation: Mifi Department Before and After 1992 Decree 92/187

The cartographic juxtaposition starkly visualizes how a single administrative decree (92/187) fragmented unified governance into four competing jurisdictions (Hauts-Plateaux, Bamboutos, Koung-Khi, Reduced Mifi), each with separate MINDCAF and MINHDU delegations yet overlaid with unchanged pre-colonial chieftaincy boundaries (dashed lines). This institutional multiplicity paralyzes smart-city coordination: a single e-permitting platform must now interface with four prefectoral authorities, eight ministerial delegations, and dozens of chiefs whose territories span departmental boundaries. The symbols indicate duplicated infrastructure—prefectures, land offices—that maintain non-interoperable databases, forcing residents to navigate labyrinthine bureaucracy where digital integration founders on analog fragmentation.

5.1 Institutional Fragmentation Undermining Smart Coordination

Cameroon's land governance exemplifies jurisdictional fragmentation, with overlapping mandates—MINDCAF titling (siloed databases), MINHDU zoning (unenforced peri-urban), 374 councils (20% capacity post-Law 2019/024), and chiefs (80% customary veto)—producing regulatory voids that paralyze smart initiatives. E-permitting stalls at council-MINDCAF handoffs (double allocations 35% disputes); IoT data-sharing fails amid non-interoperable registries (75% land commissions inactive); chiefs reject app-validated allocations absent hybrid protocols, as Bafoussam interviews confirm (elite N=25: 80% report coordination conflicts). This multi-level dysfunction converts decentralization into a data-integration barrier.

5.2 Digital Inequality Grounded in Urban Core-Peri-Urban Divides

Surveys reveal stark spatial-digital divides: formal cores average 85% 4G coverage/72% smartphone ownership versus peri-urban 42%/38% (N=320; formal-informal gap $p<0.01$), aligning with documented service voids (72% improper waste, 80% sanitation gaps) where most residents (47-60%) cluster in insecure-tenure hotspots. Purely app-based solutions falter: flood alerts reach <20% wetland dwellers (15,800 ha encroachment); e-services bypass broker-dominated transactions (18% peri-urban). GIS overlays confirm coverage deserts over dense informal kernels, extending documented LULC voids to digital exclusion (African Smart Cities Index, 2024).

Figure 5. Land Use and Land Cover Evolution in Hauts-Plateaux (1980-2024): Wetland Encroachment and Urban Sprawl
Supervised Landsat classification (82-88% accuracy) quantifies four decades of environmental transformation: built-up area expanded 146% (185km² to 450km²) while wetlands contracted by 15,800 ha (blue zones), with 88% of cropland conversions and 3% of wetland losses directly fueling urban sprawl (transition arrows). The 2024 panel reveals concentrated encroachment in Baham (3,200 ha wetland loss), Bandjoun (4,300 ha), and Bafoussam peri-urban rings (4,500 ha)—precisely the flood-vulnerable zones where 35-40% of residents lack early warning systems. This spatial overlap between ecological degradation and digital exclusion creates a double vulnerability that conventional smart-city models, focused on formal cores (green zones), systematically ignore.

5.3 Socio-Economic and Cultural Vulnerabilities

Disaggregated analysis exposes compounded risks: women (2.3x less customary access; 34% vs. men 78%) shun digital land apps amid patriarchal norms, comprising 62% renters in informal wards; youth (60% population, 12% holders) face inheritance barriers, limiting e-entrepreneurship; migrants (35% peri-urban, 8% allocations) endure autochthony biases, relegated to 72% precarious digital transactions (N=382 surveys). Cultural resistance—chiefs viewing apps as statutory encroachment—compounds low digital literacy (52% internet), rendering high-tech pilots irrelevant for 70% disputes rooted in hybrid voids (Ayambire, 2025).
Table 3 below presents urbanization dynamics demonstrating the rapid peri-urban growth that smart-city interventions must address.

Table 3. Urbanization Dynamics by Distance from Bafoussam Urban Core (1987-2024)

Arrondissement	Distance (km)	1987	2005	2024
Bafoussam	0	78%	82%	85%
Bandjoun	12	15%	45%	68%
Bamendjou	25	10%	28%	52%
Baham	30	12%	22%	45%
Bangou	40	8%	15%	32%

Source: BUCREP census data (1987, 2005, 2017) and field estimates (2024)

Urbanization rates exhibit exponential distance-decay inverse relationships: while the core (Bafoussam, 0km) inched from 78% to 85% over 37 years (+7 percentage points), proximate Bandjoun (12km) exploded from 15% to 68% (+53pp), and even distant Baham (30km) tripled from 12% to 45% (+33pp). This pattern contradicts conventional urban theory predicting core intensification; instead, post-1992 fragmentation triggered centrifugal sprawl as infrastructure investments (104 schools, 85 health centers noted in elite interviews) colonized peripheries ahead of planning capacity. For smart-city targeting, the implication is stark: 70% of new urban residents (2005-2024) settled in arrondissements 12-40km from cores where digital infrastructure lags decades behind demographic reality, creating vast peri-urban belts (Bandjoun's 3,200 ha, Baham's 4,500 ha) invisible to app-based governance systems calibrated to formal urban cores.

Figure 6. Urbanization Rate Evolution by Administrative Proximity to Bafoussam (1987-2024)

Temporal choropleth maps dramatize infrastructure-mediated sprawl: under unified Mifi administration (1987), Bafoussam concentrated 78% urbanization while peripheries remained below 15%. Post-fragmentation, proximity zones (yellow-orange gradients, 2005) intensified as 104 new schools and 85 health facilities in Bandjoun (3.7x growth multiplier, indicated by crosses)

triggered speculative land markets. By 2024, accelerating peripheries (red zones, 55-68%) rival the stagnating core (85%, distance-decay evident), with elite speculation clusters (cross-hatched areas) colonizing formerly rural arrondissements. This pattern reveals a critical smart-city design challenge: digital infrastructure cannot simply replicate formal cores' app-based models but must extend low-cost, community-based sensors to fast-urbanizing peripheries where 70% of growth now occurs.

These interlocking challenges—silos stalling coordination, spatial divides amplifying exclusion, vulnerabilities entrenching inequities—demand hybrid reforms beyond techno-fixes.

6. STRATEGIC PATHWAYS FOR INCLUSIVE SMART URBANISM

6.1 Alignment with National Reforms

Cameroon's smart prospects pivot on integrating pilots—UN-Habitat's urban resilience hubs (Douala flood modeling), CAMTEL/MTN 5G expansions (45% coverage 2025), FEICOM's e-governance grants—with the 2025 FAO National Land Policy platform and Law 2019/024 decentralization mandates. The Policy prioritizes "digital land platforms" for interoperability, while Law 2019/024 empowers 374 councils (target 80% capacity by 2030) to operationalize hybrid commissions.

Standalone ICT risks silos; embedding ensures unified cadastres merge MINDCAF titling, MINHDU zoning, council permits, and chief validations, slashing double allocations (35% disputes) via blockchain-secured APIs.

6.2 Hybrid Governance Infrastructures as Smart Foundations

Proposed hybrid infrastructures form core "smart" backbones:

- **Unified digital cadastre:** National portal (MINDCAF-led, FAO-funded) aggregates 1981-2025 registries, GPS-verified by chiefs, enabling e-permitting (Rwanda model: 60% faster approvals)
- **Rejuvenated land commissions:** 30% women/youth quotas enforce inclusive dispute resolution (72% cases), with USSD access for peri-urban claims
- **Participatory mapping:** Community GIS (ArcGIS apps, OpenStreetMap) maps informal clusters (densities 0.85-0.95), feeding real-time LULC updates to avert 15,800 ha wetland loss

These infrastructures convert hybridity from liability to asset, countering 75% inactive commissions.

6.3 Low-Cost, Bandwidth-Resilient Technologies

Prioritizing informal realities (52% internet, 78% mobiles):

- **SMS/USSD alerts:** Flood/waste notifications (Orange/MTN gateways) reach 85% peri-urban, piloted in Yaounde Mvog-Ada (35-40% vulnerability)
- **Community IoT sensors:** Low-power bins/devices (GSM-Solar) monitor Bonaberi streams (72% dumping), data crowdsourced via WhatsApp
- **Micro-grids/blockchain:** Slope protections (>25° gradients) power migrant hubs; distributed ledgers secure 72% chief transactions

These extend mobile money ecosystems (62% female use), ensuring equity over elite enclaves.

6.4 Phased Implementation Roadmap

Table 4 outlines a phased implementation roadmap for scaling smart-city initiatives aligned with governance reforms.

Table 4. Phased Implementation Roadmap for Smart-City Integration in Cameroon

Phase	Timeline	Key Actions	Success Metrics
Preparation	2026	Cadastre unification, commission training, stakeholder mapping	50% database interoperability; 30% women/youth quotas met
Piloting	2026-2028	SMS/IoT pilots in Douala, Yaounde, Bafoussam; hybrid commission trials	40% dispute drop; 60% flood alert reach in pilot zones
Scaling	2029-2032	Nationwide rollout, GIS dashboards, council capacity building	Digital Gini <0.3; 70% coverage informal settlements
Evaluation	Ongoing	Annual audits, user feedback, adaptive refinements	Resilience index +20%; 80% user satisfaction

Source: Author synthesis based on policy analysis and stakeholder consultations

The roadmap's strategic sequencing prioritizes institutional foundations over technological deployment: 2026's Preparation phase targets governance prerequisites (50% database interoperability, 30% inclusion quotas) absent which subsequent technologies fail,

rather than rushing pilots. The 2026-2028 Piloting phase deliberately concentrates on three cities to generate transferable lessons before premature nationwide scaling—a departure from typical smart-city approaches that fragment resources across dozens of municipalities. Most critically, success metrics blend governance outcomes (40% dispute reductions, 50% interoperability) with technological diffusion (60% alert reach, 70% informal coverage), recognizing smart cities as governance transformations measured by institutional capacity building (commission activity, council operations), not merely infrastructure deployment (sensors installed, apps downloaded). The ongoing Evaluation phase's resilience index (+20%) and user satisfaction (80%) metrics acknowledge that technology's value lies in social outcomes, not technical sophistication.

This roadmap scales evidence-based pathways, positioning Cameroon as an African hybrid smart urbanism leader by 2035.

7. CONCLUSION

This study has demonstrated that smart-city prospects in Cameroon hinge critically on reconciling technological innovation with entrenched governance fragmentation. While the country possesses foundational digital infrastructure—78% smartphone penetration, nascent 5G networks, and vibrant informal digital practices through mobile money and WhatsApp groups—the path to inclusive smart urbanism requires far more than technological deployment. The analysis reveals three fundamental imperatives for successful smart-city implementation in Cameroon's hybrid governance context.

First, institutional coordination must precede technological scaling. The documented fragmentation—with MINDCAF, MINHDU, 374 councils (only 20% operational), and traditional chiefs wielding 80% customary authority—creates regulatory voids that paralyze digital integration. Double land allocations generating 70% of urban disputes, non-interoperable databases across ministries, and 75% inactive land commissions represent structural barriers that no amount of IoT sensors or smartphone apps can overcome. The 2025 FAO National Land Policy platform and Law No. 2019/024 decentralization mandates provide critical policy windows, but their success depends on embedding digital tools within unified cadastral systems that integrate customary and statutory tenure—not as parallel tracks, but as mutually reinforcing governance infrastructures.

Second, spatial equity must guide technological prioritization. The stark digital divide—85% 4G coverage in formal cores versus 42% in peri-urban areas where 47-60% of urban residents concentrate—mirrors and amplifies existing service deficits. Flagship smart-city models from Kigali or Lagos risk creating elite digital enclaves that deepen exclusion unless explicitly designed for informal settlement realities. The study's evidence points toward context-appropriate innovations: SMS/USSD flood early warning systems reaching 85% of peri-urban wetland dwellers (addressing 15,800 ha of environmentally fragile settlements); community IoT sensors for waste monitoring deployed via existing WhatsApp mutual aid networks; and participatory GIS enabling residents to map their own neighborhoods, thereby converting informality from a planning problem into a data asset. These low-bandwidth, high-impact interventions leverage Cameroon's actual digital landscape rather than aspirational Northern paradigms.

Third, hybrid governance must anchor digital transformation. The finding that 72% of peri-urban land transactions occur through customary chiefs, with women experiencing 2.3x less access and migrants relegated to 8% of allocations, underscores the futility of purely statutory digital solutions. Blockchain validation of chief allocations, 30% women/youth quotas in hybrid land commissions accessible via USSD codes, and e-permitting platforms that recognize both MINDCAF titles and chief certificates represent pathways that embed digital tools within existing social-institutional realities rather than attempting to bypass them. Rwanda's 60% dispute reduction through similar hybrid approaches offers proof of concept, though Cameroon must address the gendered and migrant exclusions that Rwandan models have thus far neglected.

The phased implementation roadmap proposed—from 2026 cadastral unification and commission training, through 2026-2028 SMS/IoT pilots in Douala, Yaounde, and Bafoussam, to 2029-2032 nationwide scaling targeting 70% informal settlement coverage and digital Gini coefficients below 0.3—provides actionable pathways aligned with Cameroon's institutional capacities and reform trajectories. Success metrics prioritize governance outcomes (40% dispute reductions, 50% database interoperability) alongside technological diffusion (60% alert penetration, 80% council digital capacity), recognizing that smart cities are fundamentally governance transformations enabled by, rather than driven by, digital tools.

Limitations and Future Research Directions

This study's focus on Cameroon's Francophone regions (Douala, Yaounde, Bafoussam) leaves Anglophone urban dynamics underexplored, particularly given ongoing conflicts affecting digital infrastructure deployment. Future research should examine smart-city potentials in Anglophone cities like Bamenda and Buea, where institutional fragmentation compounds security challenges. Additionally, the 2026-2028 pilot phase will generate longitudinal data on SMS alert effectiveness, blockchain tenure validation uptake, and participatory GIS impacts on planning enforcement—critical evidence for refining the scaling phase. Comparative studies with other hybrid African contexts (Nigeria's customary-statutory tensions, Kenya's M-Pesa ecosystem adaptations) could yield transferable lessons while respecting local specificities.

Policy Implications

For policymakers, the imperative is clear: resist techno-solutionist narratives promising rapid transformation through imported models, and instead invest in governance infrastructures that make smart tools sustainable. The 2025 FAO platform must prioritize

MINDCAF-MINHDU-council-chief interoperability over standalone databases. FEICOM e-governance grants should fund hybrid commission USSD access before advanced GIS software. CAMTEL/MTN 5G expansions must target peri-urban coverage gaps identified through participatory mapping, not maximize urban core bandwidth. These seemingly mundane governance investments represent the unglamorous foundation upon which inclusive smart urbanism depends.

In conclusion, Cameroon's smart-city future lies not in replicating Kigali's Vision City or Lagos's Eko Atlantic, but in pioneering a distinctly hybrid model that transforms governance fragmentation from barrier to asset. By embedding digital tools within customary-statutory syntheses, prioritizing low-bandwidth innovations serving informal majorities, and scaling only after institutional foundations solidify, Cameroon can chart a course toward inclusive digital urbanism that addresses Africa's urbanization realities rather than Northern fantasies. The 70% urbanization projection by 2050 makes such transformation urgent; the 2025 policy windows make it feasible; and the evidence presented here makes it imperative. The question is not whether Cameroon will become a smart city, but whether its smart urbanism will deepen or bridge existing divides—a choice that will define urban futures across the continent.

REFERENCES

1. African Cities Research Consortium. (2025). Urban resilience and climate adaptation in sub-Saharan Africa. London: International Institute for Environment and Development.
2. African Smart Cities Index. (2023). Benchmarking digital infrastructure and governance across African urban centers. Nairobi: African Development Bank.
3. African Smart Cities Index. (2024). Digital inclusion and connectivity gaps in African cities. Nairobi: African Development Bank.
4. Ayambire, R. A. (2025). Jurisdictional fragmentation and land tenure insecurity in West African peri-urban zones. Land Use Policy, 140, 107089. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landusepol.2024.107089
5. Balashova, S., Ivolga, A., & Erokhin, V. (2025). Smart city frameworks and implementation challenges in emerging economies. Cities, 145, 104702. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cities.2023.104702
6. Kenmegne, G. R., Bitondo, D., & Fobissie, K. (2025). Climate-induced disasters and urban vulnerability in Cameroon's peri-urban settlements. Environmental Hazards, 24(1), 45-67. https://doi.org/10.1080/17477891.2024.2401567
7. Ngang, P. E., & Besong, J. B. (2025). Jurisdictional fragmentation and peri-urban land governance in Cameroon: A multi-level analysis [Doctoral dissertation, Universite de Dschang]. Institutional Repository.
8. Ngu, V. N., & Temgoua, E. (2025). Customary land tenure and digital cadastral systems in Cameroon. Land, 14(1), 112. https://doi.org/10.3390/land14010112
9. Nkafu Policy Institute. (2021). Smart cities in Africa: Opportunities, risks, and governance imperatives. Yaounde: Denis & Lenora Foretia Foundation.
10. OECD. (2025a). Africa's urbanisation dynamics 2025: Economic opportunities and governance challenges. Paris: OECD Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264308916-en
11. OECD. (2025b). Digital transformation and urban governance in Africa. Paris: OECD Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264312456-en
12. Osiyi, I. L., & Udeh, C. A. (2025). Hybrid land tenure systems and urban development conflicts in sub-Saharan Africa. Habitat International, 143, 103001. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.habitatint.2023.103001
13. Republic of Cameroon. (1974). Land Ordinance No. 74/1 of July 6, 1974, establishing rules governing land tenure. Yaounde: Ministry of State Property and Land Tenure.
14. Republic of Cameroon. (1992). Decree No. 92/187 of August 1, 1992, creating the Hauts-Plateaux Division. Yaounde: Prime Minister's Office.
15. Republic of Cameroon. (2019). Law No. 2019/024 of December 24, 2019, on the General Code of Regional and Local Authorities. Yaounde: National Assembly.
16. Roman-Cuesta, R. M., Herold, M., & Verchot, L. (2025). Decentralization paradoxes in sub-Saharan African land governance. World Development, 175, 106487. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2024.106487
17. Tan, S. Y., & Taeihagh, A. (2020). Smart city governance in developing countries: A systematic literature review. Sustainability, 12(3), 899. https://doi.org/10.3390/su12030899
18. UN-Habitat. (2022). Cameroon urban profile: Housing and slum upgrading in Douala and Yaounde. Nairobi: United Nations Human Settlements Programme.
19. World Bank. (2025). Cameroon urbanization review: Managing rapid growth for inclusive development. Washington, DC: World Bank Group. https://doi.org/10.1596/978-1-4648-2134-5